

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

STANLEY HOWARD,
Plaintiff,

v.

**FEDERAL RESERVE
BOARD OF GOVERNORS, *et al.*,**
Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 23-CV-5079

ORDER

AND NOW, this 24th day of April, 2024, upon consideration of Plaintiff Stanley Howard's Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 1), and *pro se* Complaint (ECF No. 2) it is **ORDERED** that:

1. Leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
2. The Complaint is **DEEMED** filed.
3. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to separately docket pages 8 through 10 of ECF No. 4 as "Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment."
4. The Complaint is **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction for the reasons in the Court's Memorandum.
5. Howard's Motion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
6. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Mitchell S. Goldberg

MITCHELL S. GOLDBERG, J.